Case 3:07-cv-05499-FDB [	Document 42	Filed 07/16/08	Page 1 of 2
		TRICT COURT F WASHINGTON MA	
JODIE DEREK GRAGG,			
Plaintiff,			
v.		Case No. C07-5	499FDB/JKA
GRAYS HARBOR SHERIFFS DEPARTMENT <i>al.</i> ,  Defendants,		REPORT AND RECOMMENDA NOTED FOR: August 15, 2008	
This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil Rights action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge			

This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil Rights action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Magistrates' Rules MJR 1, MJR 3, and MJR 4. Plaintiff has been granted *in form pauperis* status (Dkt. # 5). On June 5, 2008, the court entered an Order to Show Cause why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution (Dkt. # 41). The only remaining defendant, Parkhurst Hotel, was served in September of 2007. The Hotel filed a notice of appearance on October 24, 2007, (Dkt. # 16). On December 13, 2007, the Hotel filed a notice of substitution of counsel (Dkt. # 29). The Hotel did not answer the complaint or move to dismiss. All other defendants have been dismissed (Dkt. # 37).

It has been over half a year since counsel entered a notice of appearance. Plaintiff has taken no step to prosecute this action against this defendant. On June 5, 2008, the court entered an Order to Show Cause why

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

## Case 3:07-cv-05499-FDB Document 42 Filed 07/16/08 Page 2 of 2

the action should not be dismissed as to this remaining defendant. Plaintiff has not file a response.

Plaintiff appears to have abandoned this action. Further, plaintiff has failed to respond to a court order. The court now recommends this action be **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.** The dismissal would be pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 (2)(b). This rule allows for dismissal for failure to prosecute or for failure to comply with a court order. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal rules of Civil Procedure, the parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report to file written objections. *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on **August 15, 2008,** as noted in the caption.

/S/ J. Kellev Arnold

United States Magistrate Judge

J. Kellev Arnold

DATED this 16 day of July, 2008.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Page - 2